



Bid Evaluation Report of Proposals.

Of tenders opened on 2nd April-2013

FOR

For purchase of

“COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC.”



**Mehran University of Engineering & Technology,
Jamshoro.**

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Mehran University Of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.**
2. Tender Reference No: Tender published under SPPRA ID # 11058/2013 Serial No. 15445
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item:
"Computers, M. M. Projectors, Printers, Scanners & Toners".
4. Method of Procurement: Under SPPRA Rule 46/1 (Single Stage One envelope Procedure)
80% weight to Technical Score & 20% to Financial Score.
5. Tender Published: Daily The News (English) dated 13th Feb-2013,
Daily Khabrain (Urdu) dated 13-Feb-2013,
Daily Ibrat (Sindhi) dated 13-Feb-2013,
6. Total Bid documents Sold; **10**
7. Total Bids Received: **05**
8. Bid Opening date: **02-04-2013**
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 05
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 0

11. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.						
DETAILED EVALUATION REPORT ALONG WITH COMPARATIVE STATEMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS ATTACHED						
2.						










Engr. G. Mustafa Baloch
PC Repair Shop
Member Tech

Dr. Muhtaar A. Unar
Director IICT
Member Tech

Dr. Afshar A. Memon
Chairman Telecom
Member Tech

Mustaq A. Pachwa
Store Purchase officer
Member UPC

Abdul Jalil Khan
Member UPC

Dr. M Hayat Jokhio
Member UPC

Engr. Naeem Ajaz Youstahi
External Expert
from PTCL

Prof. Nisar Memon
Chairman CS QUEST
External Expert





Prof. BS. Chowdhry
Dean FEECE,
Convener Technical

Muneer A. Shaikh
Director Finance
Member

Prof. M.A.S. Shah
Chairman UPC



Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC"
For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>ATTACHED AS</u>	<u>PAGE #</u>
Introduction	ANNEX-A	04
Advertisement	ANNEX-B	05
List of Bidders	ANNEX-C	06
Qualification Criteria	ANNEX-D	07 to 11
Qualification Result of bidders	ANNEX-E	12 to 14
Comparative Statement	ANNEX-F	15 to 16
Recommendations for Award	ANNEX-G	17 to 21



Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC"
For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

INTRODUCTION

Bids were invited through advertisement in daily newspapers from eligible bidders for procurement of various items as listed above by the Director Finance Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro, under single stage - one envelope procedure as per rule No. 46(1) of SPPRA 2004 (amended up to 2010).

- 1) The Tender was published in widely circulated National level daily newspapers such as Daily The News (English) dated 13th Feb-2013, Daily Khabrain (Urdu) dated 13-Feb-2013, Daily Ibrat (Sindhi) dated 13-Feb-2013 and two more local newspapers. Such tenders were also published on SPPRA website under ID # 11058/2013 Serial No. 15445. Copies attached here with as ANNEX-B.
- 2) 10 Nos, of bids were purchased by interested parties/suppliers, their names are mentioned at ANNEX-C.
- 3) 5 bidders participated in tendering process on dated: 2nd April 2013 and 5 parties did not participate reasons best known to them.
- 4) The evaluation has been done on the basis of evaluation/qualification criteria as envisaged in SPPRA Rules and clearly laid down in the TENDER DOCUMENT attached here as ANNEX-D,
- 5) Results of evaluation are shown on ANNEX-E
- 6) All 5 parties qualified Names of Recommended Bidders are shown at ANNEX-F

SPPRA Web Site Showing Bid published under ID # 11058/2013 serial No. 15445



Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
Government of Sindh

SPPRA

Home Public Tenders SPPRA Notifications SPPRA Board SPP Act 2002 SPP Rules 2010 SPPRA Team Contact Us

Black Listed Firms Capacity Bidding Complaints Contract Evaluation Form Departments Download Statistics Downloads Evaluation Reports FAQ's Feed Back

Search Tenders Archive By City Names click here

Serial No.
 City (e.g Sukkur)
 SPPRA ID
 Tender Title
 Advertise Date
 Closing Date
 Month/Year

submit

S. No.	SPPRA Tender ID	Tender Title	Download	Advertise Date	Closing Date	Compendium	Evaluation Reports
15445	11058/2013	Mehran University of Engineering & Technology Jamshoro MUET Jamshoro		13 Feb 2013	02 Apr 2013	27 Feb 2013	

NEWSPAPER CUTTING



Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro



NOTICE INVITING TENDERS

Sealed tenders are invited from the General order suppliers, Sole Distributors (who are tax payers of Government, Registered with Sales Tax office and are not on the Blacklist). The tender will be published on the websites of MUET/SPPRA and leading News papers **as the estimated cost of above purchases is Rs.1-Million**, for the purchase/supply of the Following items/materials.

Sr. #	Name of Item	Quantity Required
01	Stationary Items	As per Requirement Mentioned in tender Document
02	Printing/Publication Items	--Do--
03	Sanitation Items	--Do--
04	Computers, Printers, Scanners, Toners, Multimedia Projectors	--Do--
05	Electric, Plumbing & Carpenter Materials	--Do--

The tenders will be issued on **1st April, 2013** and collected back on **2nd April, 2013**, till **11:00 am**. The tenders will be opened on **2nd April, 2013**, at **12:00 Noon** in the presence of the suppliers or their authorised representative whosoever present. The 2% earnest money of the estimated cost in the shape of Call Deposit, or Pay Order in the name of Director Finance, Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro must be attached with the tenders else the tender will be rejected. The Blank Tender forms along with the list of material showing full specification can be collected from the Purchase & Store Section by completing an application in the name of Director Finance, **along with I.T & GST registration certificate copy**, after the payment of **Rs. 1,000/-** only through Bank Challan of HBL Mehran Booth, Jamshoro per tender set (Non Refundable) on any working day. Conditional tenders will not be entertained. The delivery of goods will be taken at Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro. The Procuring agency may reject all or any bids subject to relevant provision of SPPRA Rules.

Director Finance
MUET, Jamshoro

© The News Newspaper

LIST OF BIDERS WHO PURCHASED BID DOCUMENT

S. #	NAME OF COMPANY	REMARKS
1	Rajput Engineering Company	Not Participated
2	A. J. Enterprises.	Participated
3	Texitech	Not Participated
4	General Traders	Not Participated
5	Mass International	Not -Participated
6	ABM Data Systems	Participated
7	Al-Futtaim Technologies	Not Participated
8	Ideal Distribution Line (I.D.L)	Participated
9	New Horizon	Participated
10	Ora-Tech Systems	Participated

ANNEX- D

Evaluation Criteria **as mentioned in bid document** (also attached here with from Page # 8 to 10)

Evaluation Criteria

- 1. This set of bid documents has been prepared under guidelines as laid down in SPPRA Rules 2010 and provide a clear basis upon which tenderers will be evaluated, following an objective process based on fair and transparent criteria to safe guard the interest of University. However the tenderer's should submit relevant information clearly and follow instructions to submit their relevant documentary proofs in proper order as defined herein and should not defer, so that it should not impose an excessive burden of preparation or paperwork.*

- 2. Firms would be denied who do not meet the specified criteria. Thus, those who prepare documentation for this bid are responsible for ensuring that the criteria are drawn in accordance with the appropriate needs of the tender and that the criteria are sufficiently stringent to assure that only properly qualified firms are included in the final list.*

- 3. There will be three stages of Evaluation*
 - a) Bidding Firm's Evaluation*
 - b) Evaluation of quoted, Brand, Models & their International ranking status etc.*
 - c) Evaluation Price offered by the bidding firm.*
 - d) Weightage Scores (from a to c) high scores taker will be ranked as 1st subsequently all bids will be weighted and their results will be announced on website.*

BIDDING FIRM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA			
NO.	DESCRIPTION	Marks	COMMENTS
1	NTN Certificate, GST Certificate (Bidder should provide ACTIVE Tax payer status PRINT from FBR website), Professional Tax Certificate, Chamber of Commerce Certificate, Partnership Certificate / Authorization Certificate from Manufacturer whose brand is being quoted. Microsoft Partnership Certificate & Undertaking on Judicial Paper that the firm is not Black Listed, and the information provided in their bid document is true and correct.	5	Following Certificates are mandatory, those firms who will not provide any single certificate their bid will be rejected. 1. Tax Certificates and Active Tax Payer Status must be as an ACTIVE Tax payer on FBR website. 2. Authorization partnership certificate from Manufacturer for which the items are being quoted. 3. Undertaking on Judicial paper.
2	Firm is Active in Business since last 10 years will be given 5 Marks. If the firm is in Business since last 8 years 3 marks will be given. 2 Marks if firm has business Experience of 5 years. No marks if bidding firm has experience less than 5 years	5	Bidder should provide evidence of its EXISTENCE such as Company's Property Documents, date of Registration of firm mentioned of Certificate, attach Purchase Order its delivery receipt to establish activeness of being in business. This is required to see firm's standing in Business. It will ensure & protect MUET investment, in after-sale-support about reliability.
3	Firm has Offices in Karachi & Hyderabad (1.5 mark per city)	3	Bidder should provide property documents or rental agreements as evidence. This is required as MUET needs quick response in after-sale-support.
4	Company profile, comprising of Organizational, structure, ISO Certifications, Staff details, clients information etc. Business Experience, Completion Certificates, Clients list with contact details.	5	Bidders should provide diagram, Bidder must submit their documents as are required. These documents will be verified to check their authenticity and originality, for filtering genuine bidding firms.
6	MUET will check & verify Bidder's Market Reputation especially for the projects completed by the bidder in Universities of rural areas of Sindh for its "after - Sale-Support" performance.	10	MUET will verify genuineness of Certificates. 3 marks per university satisfaction certificate.
7	Last 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns (2008 to 2012) showing that the firm's annual "average" income Tax amount declaration is over one million. 10 Marks if >5M Tax is reflected in five years, 6 Marks if <4.M, 3 marks if <3.0Million tax is reflected in firms annual income tax returns of 5 years.	10	This is required to check bidding Firm's Annual Turn-over, so that the Financial Capability of the firm could be assessed, to ensure that the firm is capable to supply goods on time
8	Sales Tax Summaries from Jan 2012 - Dec 2012. Showing Customer's Names, Business Volume etc. Two marks per month	12	Bidders are restricted, not to attach SALES TAX "RETURNS", "RECEIPTS", OR "ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS" no marks will be given if "Sales Summaries" not found.

Continued on Next Page.

<i>Evaluation of quoted, Brand, Models & their International ranking status etc.</i>			
NO.	DESCRIPTION	Marks	COMMENTS
1	<p>Maximum 10 marks will be given to internationally reputed Brand as per following chart</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HP / Dell / Fujitsu Category-A 10 Marks • ACER / Lenovo / Asus Category-B 7 Marks • All other international Brands Category-C 3 Marks 	10	Brand categories are defined from international reports published in various journals, websites etc.
2	<p><u>Desktop PC Models:</u> All international PC Manufacturers have variety of Models for their specific business segments as mentioned below, each segment has its specific usage and application, specifications, quality and warranty, therefore following chart of marks is defined and the marks will be awarded accordingly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consumer Line Models. 3 Marks • Value Line Models. 5 Marks • Mainstream /Business Line Models. 8 Marks • Workstations High performance Models 10 Marks 	10	Source websites of international PC manufacturers.
3	<p><u>After-Sale- Support</u> Marks will be awarded to Bidders as per following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Year on-site warranty 1 Marks • Three Years on-site warranty 3 Marks • Resident Engineer 7 Marks • Buffer Stock for warranty Replacement 5 Marks 	Maximum 15	Self-Explanatory
4	Bidder should provide Business Experience of similar Nature / Value of the work as intended in this Bid Document: Bidder should Provide minimum 10 Supply Orders and their completion Certificates 1.5 mark per order.	Maximum 15	Attach copy of Supply Orders with their contact details. Such work Orders will be verified from end-customers and also from Declaration from the Sales Tax Summaries will be verified. (Bidder should indicate on Purchase Order that this P.O. is declared in which Month's Sales Tax Summary at what Sr. No.

- 80% weighted will be given to Technically Sound Parties, using Weighted Average Formula, as under:-

$$= (100 - ((\text{Highest Point} - \text{Points Secured}) / \text{Highest Points} \times 100)) \times 0.8$$

EVALUATION OF PRICE OFFERED BY THE BIDDING FIRM

- 20% weighted will be given to lowest quoting firms, using Weighted Average Formula, as under:-

$$= (100 - (\text{Quoted Bid} - \text{Lowest Bid}) / \text{Lowest Bid} * 100) * 0.2$$

AWARD OF CONTRACT

Under SPPRA Rule No. 49 The bidder with the lowest evaluated cost, but not necessarily the lowest submitted price, shall be awarded the procurement contract, however following weighting Formulas will be applied to get the weighted Scores of Bidding firms.

Example:

BIDDING FIRM	Technical Weight	Financial Weight	Total Score	Rank / Position
M/s John & Co.	54.3 Obtained Highest Technical Score	14.9 Price offered by this firm was high, so it obtained lower score	69.2	Since this firm obtained highest Total of Score than others, so it is being declared as 1 st lowest Evaluated Firm. This firm will be awarded Contract.
M/s Kabra corp	44	18	62	Third Position.
M/s William Traders	48	20 Price offered by this firm was lowest, so it obtained highest score in Price weight	68	Although the prices offered by this firm were lowest than other bidders but, since its technical score of weaker, however total sum of score is lesser than M/s John & Co, so its being declared as 2 nd lowest Evaluated Firm.

The decision of the Procurement Committee of Mehran University, Jamshoro will be binding on all concerned and will in no case be challengeable at any forum.

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC"

For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

EVALUATION OF BIDDING FIRMS AS PER CRITERIA GIVEN IN TENDER DOCUMENT

CRITERIA	A. J. Enterprises	ABM Data Systems	IDL	NEW HORIZON	Ora-Tech																																																								
As per Sr. No. 1	This firm has not provided copy of GST Registration Certificate, Microsoft Authorization Certificate, and Certificate from Manufacturer whose brand he has proposed in Bid	All required documents are provided	All required documents are provided	All required documents are provided	This firm has not provided - Active Tax Payer Status from FBR website, Microsoft Certificate & Chamber Certificate.																																																								
As per Sr. No. 2	This firm got registration in June 2007, however this doesn't meet the required 10 years' experience.	This firm has got registration in 1983	This firm got registration in the year 2001 and provided documentary proof of its existence since last 12 years	The Registration Certificate date is 12-08-2005 however this firm has existence of 7 years	Although this firm has not provided required documents which were demanded in Tender, but Can be considered as their Tax Registration was verified online from FBR site shows Registration date from Feb-1996																																																								
As Per Sr. No. 3	The firm has not provided relevant documents	The firm has not provided relevant documents	Provided copy of its Karachi office Sub-Lease and rental agreement of Hyd office.	The firm has not provided required documents but provided a list of address on its letter head.	The firm has not provided relevant documents																																																								
As per Sr. No. 4	Not provided Company profile, No ISO Certificate is found. Staff list is attached but C.Vs not found, Only one Performance Certificate is attached from Sheikh Madarsatul Islam, but doesn't contain any Reference Number, Date, or name of signatory. A List of clients without contact numbers and contact person is provided.	Provided Hierarchical Organization's Structure, Staff C.V with contact numbers & email addresses, customer's Purchase orders but performance certificates are not provided.	Provided Hierarchical Organization's Structure, Staff C.V with contact numbers & email addresses, customer's Purchase orders and Performance Certificates from Askari Bank, ZTRC, NUI, Takathul Pakistan, PAF, EPU	The Firm has not provided ISO Certificate. It has Provided Hierarchical Organization's structure but it is not readable. Client list is attached with Performance Certificates from IBA Whi, ICAP, University of Karachi, UBL, HBL & CAA	The firm has not provided required papers i.e. 1) Staff C.V & Details 2) Completion Certificates from customers 3) Has not provided list of customers & their contact numbers.																																																								
As per Sr. No. 5 & 6	MUET can not verify performance of Bidder, as it did not provide required information.	Previous performance of this firm at MUET is satisfactory.	Performance Certificates from MUET, QUEST, SALLI. The performance of this firm is sound.	The Bidder has not provided any Certificate for their jobs completed in rural area of Sindh. But the projects completed in MUET were completed satisfactorily.	Since required documents are not provided however Muet cannot verify, but MUET verified from School of Arts & Design where this firm failed to supply original material.																																																								
As Per Sr. No. 7	The Total Tax declared in annual income Tax returns from 2012 to 2008 is as under <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>YEAR</th> <th>DECLARED/PAID TAX RS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>685,831.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>64,984.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008</td> <td>913,484.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>1,154,300.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS	2012	685,831.00	2011	0	2010	0	2009	64,984.00	2008	913,484.00	TOTAL	1,154,300.00	The Total Tax declared in annual income Tax returns from 2012 to 2008 is as under <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>YEAR</th> <th>DECLARED/PAID TAX RS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>15,694,946.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>(1,236,388.00)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>(794,101.00)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>(819,850.00)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008</td> <td>(566,710.00)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>12,276,397.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS	2012	15,694,946.00	2011	(1,236,388.00)	2010	(794,101.00)	2009	(819,850.00)	2008	(566,710.00)	TOTAL	12,276,397.00	The Total Tax declared in annual income Tax returns from 2012 to 2008 is as under <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>YEAR</th> <th>DECLARED/PAID TAX RS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>2,920,736.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>1,537,666.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>1,742,265.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>1,021,571.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008</td> <td>1,610,416.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>8,833,448.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS	2012	2,920,736.00	2011	1,537,666.00	2010	1,742,265.00	2009	1,021,571.00	2008	1,610,416.00	TOTAL	8,833,448.00	The Total Tax declared in annual income Tax returns from 2012 to 2008 is as under <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>YEAR</th> <th>DECLARED/PAID TAX RS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>30,489,898.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>30,194,507.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>35,740,238.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>6,050,218.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008</td> <td>2,581,329.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>105,065,290.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS	2012	30,489,898.00	2011	30,194,507.00	2010	35,740,238.00	2009	6,050,218.00	2008	2,581,329.00	TOTAL	105,065,290.00	Five Years Annual income Tax Return are not provided so that their Five years Tax could be accumulated. Instead of required documents this firm has provided Financial Statements which are not required in tender.
YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS																																																												
2012	685,831.00																																																												
2011	0																																																												
2010	0																																																												
2009	64,984.00																																																												
2008	913,484.00																																																												
TOTAL	1,154,300.00																																																												
YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS																																																												
2012	15,694,946.00																																																												
2011	(1,236,388.00)																																																												
2010	(794,101.00)																																																												
2009	(819,850.00)																																																												
2008	(566,710.00)																																																												
TOTAL	12,276,397.00																																																												
YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS																																																												
2012	2,920,736.00																																																												
2011	1,537,666.00																																																												
2010	1,742,265.00																																																												
2009	1,021,571.00																																																												
2008	1,610,416.00																																																												
TOTAL	8,833,448.00																																																												
YEAR	DECLARED/PAID TAX RS																																																												
2012	30,489,898.00																																																												
2011	30,194,507.00																																																												
2010	35,740,238.00																																																												
2009	6,050,218.00																																																												
2008	2,581,329.00																																																												
TOTAL	105,065,290.00																																																												
As Per Sr. No 8	Sales Tax Summaries are found for following months: Oct-12, Sept-12, July-12, May-12, Mar-12. Summaries not found for Jan, Feb, Apr, June, Aug, Nov and Dec 2012	Sales Tax Summaries from Jan-Dec 2012 are submitted.	Sales Tax Summaries from Jan-Dec 2012 are submitted.	Sales Tax Summaries from Jan-Dec 2012 are submitted.	As required in Tender document, this firm has not provided Sales Tax Summaries, but it has submitted "Acknowledgements" which were not required in tender																																																								

Continued on Next Page

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC"

For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

EVALUATION OF BRANDS/MODEL QUOTED BY BIDDERS

CRITERIA	A. J. Enterprises	ABM Data Systems	IDL	NEW HORIZON	Ora-Tech
As per Sr. No. 1	HP Computers, Printers, Scanners and Acer MM Projectors	This Firm has quoted only for Epson Branded MM Projectors	HP Computers, Printers, Scanners and Hitachi (Japan) MM Projectors	HP Computers, Printers, Scanners and BenQ (China) MM Projectors	This firm has quoted HP branded items. But not quoted anything for MM Projectors.
As per Sr. No. 2	All Models as Required in Bid are offered	Required specifications meet the Bid required	All Models as Required in Bid are offered	All Models as Required in Bid are offered	All Models as Required in Bid are offered
As Per Sr. No. 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCs are offered with 3 years warranty All other items are offered in 1 year Site warranty is offered on all items No Resident Engineer is offered No Buffer Stock is offered 	<p>2 Years warranty on Epson Projectors is offered by this firm</p> <p>No resident staff is offered</p> <p>No buffer parts are offered</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCs are offered with 3 years warranty All other items are offered in 1 year Site warranty is offered on all items Resident Engineer is offered Buffer Stock is offered 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCs are offered with 3 years warranty All other items are offered in 1 year Site warranty is offered on all items Resident Engineer is offered Buffer Stock is offered 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCs are offered with 3 years warranty All other items with 1 year No Site warranty is offered No Resident Engineer is offered No Buffer Stock is offered
As per Sr. No. 4	<p>The Purchase orders attached are not declared in Sales Tax Summaries, e.g.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Sindh Matersatul Isalm in May & June are not shown in any Summary. 2) Ten Purchase Orders are awarded by Directorate of Animal Breeding Sindh, but this firm has declared only two out of ten. 3) Quest PO of Rs. 7 ABM is also un-declared. 4) Darul Sehat Hospital Po is not declared. 5) PD MT & R purchase order is not declared. <p>Note: This firm seems that the Purchase Order received to them are not being declared mean they are hiding Taxes</p>	<p>This firm has not provided Serial numbers on which their purchase orders are declared on their Sales Tax Summaries, however it cannot be verified.</p>	<p>The purchase orders provided are verified from Sales Tax Summaries and it is mentioned on each Purchase order with serial number, month of declaration on Sales Tax Summaries.</p>	<p>This firm has not provided Serial numbers on which their purchase orders are declared on their Sales Tax Summaries, however it cannot be verified.</p>	<p>This firm has attached few Purchase orders for the year 2012, whereas all other Purchase Orders are older for the year 2011, 2010 and 2008.</p> <p>Since this firm has not provided Sales Tax Summaries, however their purchase orders cannot be verified.</p>

Continued on Next Page

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC" For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

MARKS

Marks CRITERIA	A. J. Ent	ABM Data	IDL	NEW HORIZON	Ora-Tech
NTN Certificate, GST Certificate (Bidder should provide ACTIVE Tax payer status PRINT from FBR website), Professional Tax Certificate, Chamber of Commerce Certificate, Partnership Certificate / Authorization Certificate from Manufacturer whose brand is being quoted, Microsoft Partnership Certificate & Undertaking on Judicial Paper that the firm is not Black Listed, and the information provided in their bid document is true and correct.	2	5	5	5	2.5
Firm is Active in Business since last 10 years will be given 5 Marks. If the firm is in Business since last 8 years 3 marks will be given. 2 Marks if firm has business Experience of 5 years. No marks if bidding firm has experience less than 5 years	2	5	5	2.5	5
Firm has Offices in Karachi & Hyderabad (1.5 mark per city). Bidder should provide property documents or rental agreements as evidence. This is required as MUET needs quick response in after-sale-support	0	0	3	0	0
Company profile, comprising of Organizational structure, ISO Certifications, Staff details, clients information etc. Business Experience, Completion Certificates, Clients list with contact details	1	4	4	4	2
MUET will check & verify Bidder's Market Reputation especially for the projects completed by the bidder in Universities of rural areas of Sindh for its "after - Sale-Support" performance.	0	6	9	6	0
Last 5 years Annual Income Tax Returns (2008 to 2012) showing that the firm's annual "average" income Tax amount declaration is over one million. 10 Marks if >5M Tax is reflected in five years, 6 Marks if <4M, 3 marks if <3.0M tax is reflected in firms annual income tax returns of 5 years.	3	10	10	10	0
Sales Tax Summaries from Jan 2012 - Dec 2012. Showing Customer's Names, Business Volume etc. one mark per month. Bidders are restricted, not to attach SALES TAX "RETURNS", "RECEIPTS", OR "ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS" no marks will be given without "Sales Summaries"	5	12	12	12	0
Maximum 10 marks will be given to Internationally reputed Brand as per following chart HP / Dell / Fujitsu Category-A 10 Marks, ACER / Lenovo / Asus Category-B 7 Marks, All other International Brands Category-C 3 Marks	10	10	10	10	10
Desktop PC Models: Consumer Line Models: 3 Marks, Value Line Models: 5 Marks, Mainstream /Business Line Models: 8 Marks and Workstations High-performance Models: 10 Marks	10	10	10	10	10
After Sale Support One Year on-site warranty: 2 Marks, Three Years on-site warranty: 3 Marks, Resident Engineer: 7 Marks, Buffer Stock for warranty Replacement: 5 Marks	9	9	13	13	6
Bidder should provide Business Experience of similar Nature / Value of the work as intended in this Bid Document: Bidder should Provide minimum 10 Supply Orders and their completion Certificates 1.5 mark per order. work Orders will be verified from end-customers and also from Declaration from the Sales Tax Summaries will be verified. (Bidder should indicate on Purchase Order that this P.O. is declared in which Month's Sales Tax Summary at what Sr. No.	0	10	15	12	0
TOTAL MARKS OBTAINED BY BIDDER IN TECHNICAL EVALUATION	42	81	96	84.5	35.5

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC" For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Item	Option	MODEL / ITEM	QTY	A. J. Enterprises		ABM Data Systems		IDL		NEW HORIZON		Ora-Tech	
				Unit Price	Total Bid	Unit Price	Total Bid	Unit Price	Total Bid	Unit Price	Total Bid	Unit Price	Total Bid
High End PC	A	HP Elite 8300 PC Core i7/4GB/500GB	50							88,625/-	4,431,726.00	91,929/-	4,596,450.00
	B	HP Compaq 6300 PC Core i7/4GB/500GB		120,190/-	6,009,500.00			80,000/-	4,000,000.00	85,168/-	4,258,400.00	89,929/-	4,496,450.00
Mid Range PC	A	HP Compaq 6300 PC Core i5/4GB/500GB	75	101,150/-	7,586,250.00			67,700/-	5,077,500.00	72,803/-	5,460,225.00	79,929/-	5,994,675.00
	B	HP Elite AiO Touch Core i5/4GB/500GB								106,893/-	8,016,975.00		
Low End PC		HP Compaq 6300 PC Core i3/2GB/500GB	150	82,110/-	12,316,500.00			55,000/-	8,250,000.00	56,095/-	8,414,250.00	68,929/-	10,339,350.00
Laptops		HP Pro Book 4540s Core i5/2GB/500GB	5	82,110/-	410,550.00			70,000/-	350,000.00	65,869/-	329,345.00	107,452/-	537,260.00
		HP Pro Book 4540s Core i3/2GB/500GB	5	75,780/-	368,900.00			62,500/-	312,500.00	59,513/-	297,565.00	78,797/-	393,985.00
M. M Projectors		3000 Lumens BenQ	20							92,167/-	1,843,340/-		
		3000 Lumens Epson				74,900/-	1,498,000.00						
		3200 Lumens Hitachi								65,000/-	1,300,000.00		
		4000 Lumens Acer		103,550/-	2,070,600.00								
Scanners		HP Scan jet 200	5							6,310/-	31,550.00		
		HyScan Jet 2410	5	7,140/-	35,700.00								
		HP Scan Jet G3110	5	12,376/-	61,880.00			5,500/-	27,500.00			7,974/-	39,870.00
Printers		HP Laser Jet 1102	20	11,900/-	238,000.00			10,500/-	62,500.00	13,154/-	65,770.00	13,725/-	68,625.00
		HP Laser Jet 2015	10	31,535/-	315,350.00			10,000/-	200,000.00	12,027/-	240,540.00	12,366/-	247,920.00
		HP Laser Jet 401D	10	36,350/-	363,500.00			27,000/-	270,000.00	21,799/-	217,990.00	34,902/-	349,020.00
Toners		HP Toner - 05A	25	8,568/-	214,200.00			31,500/-	315,000.00	31,525/-	315,250.00	38,495/-	384,950.00
		HP Toner - 053A	25	8,092/-	202,300.00			7,500/-	187,500.00	9,033/-	225,825.00	9,221/-	230,525.00
		HP Toner - 12A	25	7,080/-	177,000.00			6,000/-	150,000.00	7,278/-	181,975.00	8,512/-	212,800.00

Note:

There are only two quotations received for option -A of "High-End-PC" and only One quotation is received for Option -B of "Mid Range PC". Therefore the Technical & Purchase committee decided to drop these items and consider all other items where maximum bidders have submitted their competitive offers.

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Bid Evaluation Result of contractors

"Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC" For the Tender opened on 2nd April-2013

SUM OF TOTAL COMPARATIVE

S#	ITEM	QTY	A.J. Enterprises		IDL		New Horizon		Ora-Tech	
			UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
1	HP Compaq 6300 C714GB/500GB, as per specification mention thereof	50	120,190.00	6,009,500.00	80,000.00	4,000,000.00	85,168.00	4,258,400.00	89,929.00	4,496,450.00
2	HP Compaq 6300 C714GB/500GB, as per specification mention thereof	75	101,150.00	7,586,250.00	67,700.00	5,077,500.00	72,803.00	5,460,225.00	79,929.00	5,994,675.00
3	HP Compaq 6300 C712GB/500GB, as per specification mention thereof	150	82,110.00	12,316,500.00	55,000.00	8,250,000.00	56,095.00	8,414,250.00	68,929.00	10,338,350.00
4	HP Pro Book 4540s Core i5 / 2 GB / 500GB as per specification mention thereof	5	82,110.00	410,550.00	70,000.00	350,000.00	65,889.00	329,345.00	107,452.00	537,260.00
5	HP Pro Book 4540s Core i3 / 2 GB / 500GB as per specification mention thereof	5	73,780.00	368,900.00	62,500.00	312,500.00	59,513.00	297,565.00	78,797.00	393,985.00
6	HyScan Jet 2410	5	7,140.00	35,700.00	5,500.00	27,500.00	6,310.00	31,550.00	7,974.00	39,870.00
7	HP Scan Jet G3110	5	12,376.00	61,880.00	10,500.00	52,500.00	13,154.00	65,770.00	13,725.00	68,625.00
8	HP Laser Jet 1102	20	11,900.00	238,000.00	10,000.00	200,000.00	12,027.00	240,540.00	12,396.00	247,920.00
9	HP Laser Jet 2035	10	31,535.00	315,350.00	27,000.00	270,000.00	21,799.00	217,990.00	34,502.00	345,020.00
10	HP Laser Jet 4010	10	36,350.00	363,500.00	31,500.00	315,000.00	31,525.00	315,250.00	38,495.00	384,950.00
11	HP Toner - 05A	25	8,568.00	214,200.00	7,500.00	187,500.00	9,833.00	225,825.00	9,221.00	230,525.00
12	HP Toner - 053A	25	8,062.00	202,300.00	7,000.00	175,000.00	8,337.00	208,425.00	7,584.00	189,600.00
13	HP Toner - 12A	25	7,080.00	177,000.00	6,000.00	150,000.00	7,279.00	181,975.00	8,512.00	212,800.00
TOTAL			28,299,630.00		19,367,500.00		20,247,110.00		23,481,280.00	
REMARKS			4th Lowest		1st Lowest		2nd Lowest		3rd Lowest	

ITEM	QTY	A.J. Enterprises		IDL		New Horizon		AGM Data Systems	
		(Acer 4000 Lumens)		(Hitachi Japan 3000 Lumens)		BenQ 3000 Lumens		Epson 3000 Lumens	
		UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Multimedia Projectors	20	103,530.00	2,070,600.00	65,000.00	1,300,000.00	92,167.00	1,843,340.00	74,900.00	1,498,000.00
REMARKS		4th LOWEST		1st LOWEST		3rd LOWEST		2nd LOWEST	

Prices offered by M/s Ideal Distribution Line are Lowest, Most Responsive and within Budget.

Technical & Financial Bid Evaluation Results

for Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC" For the Tender opened on 2nd Apr-13

SUM OF TOTAL COMPARATIVE

TECHNICAL WEIGHTED SCORE				FINANCIAL WEIGHTED SCORE			RESULTS / WINNER					
80% Weight to Technically sound Proposals as per Formula = (100-(Highest Point - Points Secured)/Highest Points x 100) x 0.80				20% Weight to Financial Lowest Proposal as per Formula = (100-(Quoted Bid-Lowest Bid) /Lowest Bid)*100*0.20			Technical + Financial Weighted Score	Rank / Position	WINNER			
Item	BIDDING FIRM'S NAME		Technical Evaluation		Financial Evaluation							
			Marks Obtained	Weight	Total Price Quoted by The Firm	LOWEST BID VALUE	Weighted Score					
TOTAL OF ALL ITEMS EXCEPT M.M. PROJECTOR	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	28,299,630.00	19,367,900.00	10.78	44	4			
	2	IDL	96	76.8	19,367,500.00		20.00	97		1		
	3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6	20,247,110.00		19.09	87			2	
	4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4	23,481,280.00		15.75	44				3
M.M. PROJECTOR	1	A.J. Enterprises (Acer 4000 Lumen)	42	33.6	2,078,600.00	1,300,000.00	8.14	42	4			
	2	IDL (Hitachi Japan 3200 Lumen)	96		76.8		1,300,000.00	20.00		97		
	3	New Horizon (BenQ 3000 Lumen)	84.5		67.6		1,843,340.00	11.64		79	3	
	4	ABM Data Sys Epson 3000 Lumen	81		64.8		1,468,000.00	16.95		82		2

For individual item wise weighted evaluation see from next page.

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Technical & Financial Bid Evaluation Results

for Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC™ For the Tender opened on 2nd Apr-13

INDIVIDUAL ITEM WISE RESULTS AS PER TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL RESULTS.

TECHNICAL WEIGHTED SCORE						FINANCIAL WEIGHTED SCORE			RESULTS / WINNER					
80% Weight to Technically sound Proposals as per Formula = (100)-(Highest Point - Points Secured)/Highest Points x 100 (all.80)						20% Weight to Financial Lowest Proposal as per Formula = (100)-(Quoted Bid-Lowest Bid) /Lowest Bid*100*(0.20)			Technical + Financial Weighted Score	Rank / Position	WINNER			
Category	Item Serial #	Item	BIDDING FIRM'S NAME	Technical Evaluation		QTY	Financial Evaluation							
				Marks Obtained	Weight		Unit Price	Total Price Quoted by The Firm	Weighted Score					
High End PC	1	HP Compaq 6300 D714GB500GB, as per specification mention thereof	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	50	120,190.00	6,009,500.00	9.95	44	4	Ideal Distribution Line	
			2	IDL	96	76.8		80,000.00	4,000,000.00	20.00	97			1
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		85,168.00	4,258,400.00	18.71	86			2
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		89,929.00	4,496,450.00	17.52	46			3
Mid Range PC	2	HP Compaq 6300 D314GB500GB, as per specification mention thereof	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	75	101,150.00	7,586,250.00	10.12	44	4	Ideal Distribution Line	
			2	IDL	96	76.8		67,700.00	5,077,500.00	20.00	97			1
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		72,803.00	5,460,225.00	18.49	85			2
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		79,929.00	5,994,675.00	16.39	45			3
Low End PC	3	HP Compaq 6300 D302GB500GB, as per specification mention thereof	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	150	82,110.00	12,316,500.00	10.14	44	3	Ideal Distribution Line	
			2	IDL	96	76.8		55,000.00	8,250,000.00	20.00	97			1
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		56,085.00	8,414,250.00	19.60	87			2
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		68,929.00	10,339,350.00	14.93	43			4
Laptop	4	HP Pro Book 4540s Core i5 / 2 GB / 500GB as per specification mention thereof	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	5	82,110.00	410,550.00	15.07	49	3	Ideal Distribution Line	
			2	IDL	96	76.8		70,000.00	350,000.00	18.75	96			1
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		66,869.00	329,345.00	20.00	88			2
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		107,452.00	537,260.00	7.37	36			4
Laptop	5	HP Pro Book 4540s Core i3 / 2 GB / 500GB as per specification mention thereof	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	5	73,780.00	368,900.00	15.21	49	3	Ideal Distribution Line	
			2	IDL	96	76.8		62,500.00	312,500.00	19.00	96			1
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		59,513.00	297,565.00	20.00	88			2
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		78,797.00	393,985.00	13.52	42			4

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Technical & Financial Bid Evaluation Results

for Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC" For the Tender opened on 2nd Apr-13

TECHNICAL WEIGHTED SCORE					FINANCIAL WEIGHTED SCORE			RESULTS / WINNER					
Category	Item Serial #	Item	BIDDING FIRM'S NAME	Technical Evaluation		QTY	Financial Evaluation			WINNER			
				Marks Obtained	Weight		Unit Price	Total Price Quoted by The Firm	Weighted Score				
80% Weight to Technically sound Proposals as per Formula = (100-(Highest Point - Points Secured)/Highest Points x 100)(x0.80)					20% Weight to Financial Lowest Proposal as per Formula = (100-(Quoted Bid-Lowest Bid) /Lowest Bid*100)(x0.20)			Technical + Financial Weighted Score	Rank / Position				
M.M. Projectors	6	Multimedia Projectors	1	A.J. Enterprises (Acer 4000 Lmm)	42	33.6	20				103,530.00	2,070,600.00	8.14
			2	IDL (Hitachi Japan 3300 Lmm)	96	76.8	65,000.00	1,300,000.00	20.00	97	1		
			3	New Horizon (BenQ 3000 Lmm)	84.5	67.6	92,167.00	1,843,340.00	11.64	79	3		
			4	AGM Data Sys Epson 3000 Lmm	81	64.8	74,900.00	1,498,000.00	16.95	82	2		
SCANNERS	7	HpScan Jet 2410	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	5	7,540.00	35,700.00	14.04	48	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8	5,500.00	27,500.00	20.00	97	1		
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6	6,310.00	31,550.00	17.05	85	2		
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4	7,974.00	39,870.00	11.00	38	4		
	8	HP Scan Jet G3110	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	5	12,376.00	61,880.00	16.43	50	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8	10,500.00	52,500.00	20.00	97	1		
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6	13,154.00	65,770.00	14.94	83	2		
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4	13,725.00	68,625.00	13.86	42	4		
PRINTERS	9	HP Laser Jet 1102	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	20	11,900.00	238,000.00	16.20	50	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8	10,000.00	200,000.00	20.00	97	1		
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6	12,027.00	240,540.00	15.55	84	2		
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4	12,396.00	247,920.00	15.21	44	4		
	10	HP Laser Jet 2035	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	10	31,535.00	315,350.00	11.07	45	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8	27,000.00	270,000.00	15.23	92	1		
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6	21,799.00	217,990.00	20.00	89	2		
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4	34,502.00	345,020.00	8.35	37	4		
	11	HP Laser Jet 4010	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	10	38,350.00	383,500.00	16.94	51	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8	31,500.00	315,000.00	20.02	97	1		
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6	31,525.00	315,250.00	20.00	88	2		
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4	38,485.00	384,850.00	15.58	44	4		

Mehran University of Engineering & Technology, Jamshoro.

Technical & Financial Bid Evaluation Results

for Purchase of COMPUTERS, PRINTERS, M. MEDIA PROJECTORS, SCANNERS ETC™ For the Tender opened on 2nd Apr-13

TECHNICAL WEIGHTED SCORE						FINANCIAL WEIGHTED SCORE			RESULTS / WINNER				
80% Weight to Technically sound Proposals as per Formula = (100-(Highest Point - Points Secured)/(Highest Points x 100)(x0.8)						20% Weight to Financial Lowest Proposal as per Formula = (100-(Quoted Bid-Lowest Bid) /Lowest Bid*100)(x0.20)			Technical + Financial Weighted Score	Rank / Position	WINNER		
Category	Item Serial #	Item	BIDDING FIRM'S NAME	Technical Evaluation		Financial Evaluation							
				Marks Obtained	Weight	Unit Price	Total Price Quoted by The Firm	Weighted Score					
TONERS	12	HP Toner - OSA	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	25	8,558.00	214,200.00	17.15	51	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8		7,500.00	187,500.00	20.00	97	1	
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		9,033.00	225,825.00	15.91	84	2	
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		9,221.00	230,525.00	15.41	44	4	
	13	HP Toner - OSA	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	25	8,092.00	202,300.00	16.88	58	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8		7,000.00	175,000.00	20.00	97	1	
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		8,337.00	208,425.00	16.18	84	2	
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		7,594.00	189,850.00	18.30	47	4	
	14	HP Toner - 12A	1	A.J. Enterprises	42	33.6	25	7,080.00	177,000.00	20.55	54	3	Ideal Distribution Line
			2	IDL	96	76.8		6,000.00	150,000.00	23.51	100	1	
			3	New Horizon	84.5	67.6		7,278.00	181,975.00	20.00	88	2	
			4	Ora-Tech	35.5	28.4		8,512.00	212,800.00	16.61	45	4	

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Three firms offered their prices on C&F basis they are, M/s Ideal Distribution Line, New Horizon and M/s Ora-Tech.
- Overall Prices offered by M/s Ideal Distribution Line are Lowest, Most Responsive, best evaluated and within Budget. This firm also obtained highest technical score. Therefore the Technical and University's Purchase / Tender Committee recommends that the contract for all tendered items may be awarded to M/s Ideal Distribution Line.

Engr. G. Mustafa Baloch
PC Repair Shop
Member Tech

Dr. Mukhtar A. Usaf
Director ICT
Member Tech

Dr. Atiq A. Memon
Chairman Telecom
Member Tech

Mushafiq A. Khan
Store Purchase officer
Member UPC

Abdul Jali Khan
Member UPC

Dr. M. Hayat Jinnah
Member UPC

Engr. Kasim Ajaz Yousofani
External Expert from PTCL

Prof. Usar Memon
Chairman CS QUEST
External Expert

Prof. BS. Chowdhry
Dean FEECE,
Convener Technical

Muneer A. Shaikh
Director Finance
Member

Prof. WAI Shah
Chairman UPC

***** ENDOF REPORT *****